

PRESIDENT: Senator Wesely has offered his amendment. Senator Higgins, do you wish to be recognized?

SENATOR HIGGINS: (Response inaudible.)

PRESIDENT: Very well. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: (Response inaudible.)

PRESIDENT: No, there is not, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: (Inaudible) Mr. Chairman, members of the Legislature, I wanted to ask Senator Wesely a question that he answered the other day. He gave me a written copy of an amendment, but I'm trying to find it attached to the bill where the definition of health care profession, or whatever that term was, and remember you had something written out to show where they changed the definition.

SENATOR WESELY: Oh, yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If you know in the bill where the present...

PRESIDENT: Are you finished, Senator Chambers? I didn't mean to hurry you or anything.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, that's all right. I thought maybe somebody else would speak. Mr. Chairman, when you start out with an idea which is supposed to have been carefully considered, and then you have to make major rewrites, such as this one is attempting to do on the floor, it indicates that a majority of the Legislature is going to swallow whatever is put on the plate. Some of us, I think, are talking to the record more than anything else. Sometimes you can get caught up in an effort and become so lost in it that your ego is involved and an attack on a bill becomes an attack on you. You tend to lose your way and the orientation that you once led the public to believe that you had. You begin to act in haste, with a reckless disregard for what is best as far as proper legislating, then you live to rue that day when today is called up at a subsequent time and an explanation is sought for why. The heavy-handed bulldozing was all right today, but it's not all right next week when there is a different interest you are concerned